

**SECTION VII**

**CONSULTATION WITH OTHER AFFECTED FEDERAL, STATE,  
REGIONAL AND LOCAL AGENCIES**

**A. Other Communities**

Planning for the LWRP in the Piermont area can be affected by other communities along the Hudson (waterfront) particularly Grand View-on-Hudson and communities upstream from Sparkill Creek such as Sparkill, Orangetown, and communities in northern Bergen County, New Jersey. In the case of Piermont, the Sparkill Creek tidal reach watershed is the area in which consultation is most important. There have been and will be consultations with these communities relating to implementation of the LWRP. These include Orangetown, particularly the Orangetown Planning Board, on developments that increase flow into the Sparkill Creek, and on development on Clausland Mountain that produces erosion, silt, and mudslides threatening Piermont.

The Orangetown Town Board will be requested to approve an eastward extension of the Piermont's boundary. The area in question now is part of Orangetown.

**B. Rockland County**

The primary consultation with Rockland County has been with respect to the reconstruction of the bridge over the Sparkill Creek, since this is a county responsibility. As appropriate, there will be additional consultations with County officials. Correspondence with the North Rockland Sewer District #7 on outfall line problems has been continuous and unproductive. Rockland County would also be involved with the policies on Kane Park and the Army Bridge, and on maintenance of the Sparkill Creek Waterway.

**C. State of New York**

The Village has maintained contact with the Coastal Management staff at the Department of State since the inception of the program. The NYSDEC in identifying Piermont marsh as a significant coastal fish and wildlife habitat has studied the area in great detail. In addition, contact has been made over potential designation of Route 9W as a scenic highway; and with respect to the boat launch at the end of the pier, with the Palisades Interstate Park Commission concerning a canoe launch along the Creek.

Assistance has been requested from the NYS DEC in regard to extension and repair of the sewer outfall line. The DEC has not yet responded to the concerns of the Village in this matter.

**D. Federal Agencies**

The Village has made application to the Army Corps of Engineers to restore the seawall on the north shore of the Erie Pier at its original location at the east end, west of the dogleg of Ferry Road. Erosion there has largely destroyed the structure, and mean high tide line is now inland from the original location. The restored reserved land will be a focal point of a Viewshed Park.

**E. Conflict Resolution**

Where differences have arisen over specific projects, continuing discussions have been the rule.

No conflicts between the LWRP and the policies or programs of the municipalities or agencies above are known to the Village staff. The nature of the consultations that have gone on should help to resolve any conflicts that may arise.

**F. 60 day Review**

The completed draft LWRP (and Draft Environmental Impact Statement) were accepted by the Village Board of Trustees and forwarded to the Secretary of State for review by State and federal agencies, adjacent waterfront communities, and Rockland County. Review comments on the draft LWRP and DEIS were also received at the public hearing held on December 19, 1989, and/or in writing were analyzed by the Village Board, the Harbor Advisory Committee and DOS staff. Upon receiving all comments the Village, as lead agency, prepared and excepted a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) which provided responses to all comments. Based on the FEIS, the final LWRP was prepared.